IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

GALVESTON DIVISION

| JUAN ESCOBEDO, #1021774 | § | |
|-------------------------|---|---------------------------|
| | § | |
| v. | § | CIVIL ACTION NO. G-06-738 |
| | § | |
| ALBERTO R. GONZALES, | § | |
| U.S. ATTORNEY GENERAL | § | |

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Before the Court is the Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus of Juan Escobedo, a prisoner in the Texas Department of Criminal Justice - Correctional Institutions Division ("TDCJ-CID") (Instrument No. 1). Petitioner filed this writ pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241. Having carefully considered the Petition and the applicable law, the Court submits its Report and Recommendation to the District Court.

Petitioner seeks a determination from this Court that he is United States citizen as provided for under the Child Citizen Act of 2000, 8 U.S.C. § 1432(a)¹ and, therefore, not subject to removal. Petitioner's "derivative citizenship" claim is only cognizable by the court of appeals in a petition for review. 8 U.S.C. § 1252(a)(5), (b)(5)(A, C); *Omolo v. Gonzales*, 452 F.3d 404, 406-07 (2006); *Rosales v. Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement*, 426 F.3d 733, 735-36 (5th Cir. 2005), *cert. denied*, __U.S. __, 126 S.Ct. 1055 (2006); *see also, Baeta v. Sonchik*, 273 F3d 1261, 1263 (9th Cir. 2001) (nationality issues within jurisdiction of court of appeals); *Giap v. Immigration and Naturalization Service*, 311 F.Supp.2d 438, 440 (S.D.N.Y. 2004) (claim of citizenship was within

 $^{^{1}}$ 8 U.S.C. \S 1432, repealed by Pub.L. 106-395, Title I \S 103(a), October 30, 2000, 114 Stat. 1632.

exclusive jurisdiction of court of appeals not district court). The Court is without jurisdiction to

consider Petitioner's § 2241 petition and, therefore, RECOMMENDS that it be DISMISSED

without prejudice.

CONCLUSION

For all the reasons stated, this Court **RECOMMENDS** the Petition for a Writ of Habeas

Corpus of Juan Escobedo (Instrument No. 1) be SUMMARILY DISMISSED WITHOUT

PREJUDICE for lack of jurisdiction.

The Clerk SHALL send copies of this Report and Recommendation to the Parties. The

Parties SHALL have until **December 19, 2006**, in which to have written objections, filed

pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), **physically on file** in the Office of the Clerk. The Objections

SHALL be electronically filed and/or mailed to the Clerk's Office at P.O. Drawer 2300, Galveston,

Texas 77553. Any Objections filed SHALL be contained in a written document specifically

entitled "Objections to the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge", which will then

be forwarded to the District Judge for consideration. Failure to file written objections within the

prescribed time **SHALL** bar the aggrieved party from attacking on appeal the factual findings and

legal conclusions accepted by the District Judge, except upon grounds of plain error.

DONE at Galveston, Texas this _____ day of November, 2006.

John R. Froeschner

United States Magistrate Judge

2